



CSCI 2467, Spring 2020

Class Activity: Understanding disassembled code
Friday, February 7

CSCI 2467 Staff: staff@2467.cs.uno.edu

1 Introduction

In this activity you will get practice reading assembly language code which has been *disassembled* – taken from an existing, compiled program. This “reverse-engineering” technique is especially useful for folks in the computer security field who are studying malware and software vulnerabilities. It is also an excellent way for anyone to gain a deeper understanding of how their programs are actually compiled and executed. (The questions are from CS:APP3e by Bryant and O’Hallaron, chapter 3.)

Below is a table which should be helpful. This is the “calling convention” for x86-64 on Linux, which determines which function arguments go into which registers when a function is *called*.

If a function has only one argument, that argument will be stored in `rdi` . If there are two, then the first goes into `rdi` and the second into `rsi`, and so on for functions with more arguments.

x86-64 calling convention	
Function argument	register
1 st	<code>rdi</code>
2 nd	<code>rsi</code>
3 rd	<code>rdx</code>
4 th	<code>rcx</code>
5 th	<code>r8</code>
6 th	<code>r9</code>
> 6	(stored on stack)

2 Machine-level arithmetic and logical operations

1. In the following C function `arith2()`, four expressions have been replaced with blanks:¹

```
long arith2(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long t1 = _____;
    long t2 = _____;
    long t3 = _____;
    long t4 = _____;

    return t4;
}
```

When compiled using `gcc -S`, this function generates assembly instructions. Below are the instructions implementing the blank expressions: (Intel-style assembly)

```
arith2:
    or     rdi, rsi
    sar   rdi, 3
    not   rdi
    mov   rax, rdx
    sub   rax, rdi
    ret
```

Based on this assembly code, fill in the missing portions of the C code in the blanks above. (Note: you may only use the symbolic variables `x`, `y`, `z`, `t1`, `t2`, `t3`, `t4` in your expressions above — *do not use register names.*)

¹You can check your answer to this problem against the solution for Problem 3.10 on page 329 of CS:APP3e.

2. The `lea` instruction stands for “load effective address” and is designed to compute the memory address of an entry in an array or structure. However, `lea` can also be used by a compiler to compute arithmetic operations (addition and multiplication) in a single instruction, so it is often found in unexpected places.

Consider the following code in which we have omitted the expression being computed:²

```
long scale2(long x, long y, long z) {  
  
    long t = _____  
  
    return t;  
  
}
```

Compiling the actual function with GCC (using `gcc -S scale2.c -masm=intel -Og`) yields the following assembly code:

```
scale2:  
    lea    rax, [rdi+rdi*4]  
    lea    rax, [rax+rsi*2]  
    lea    rax, [rax+rdx*8]  
    ret
```

Based on this assembly code, fill in the missing portion of the C function in the blank above.

(Note: you may only use the symbolic variables `x`, `y`, and `z` in your expressions above — *do not use register names.*)

²You can check your answer to this problem against the solution for Problem 3.7 on page 328 of CS:APP3e.

3 Machine-level control flow

3. Consider the following assembly code:

```
fun1:
    cmp     rdi, rsi
    jge    .L3
    mov     rax, rdi
    ret
.L3:
    mov     rax, rsi
    ret
```

What C function could have been compiled to generate these instructions? (There is more than one correct answer.)

Fill in the three blanks below with valid C code (using variable names **a** and **b**):

```
long fun1(long a, long b) {
    if ( _____ )
        return _____ ;
    else
        return _____ ;
}
```